

Figure 1.-Experimental enthalpy interaction parameters $\lambda^M = \Delta H^M / X_1 (1 - X_2)$ *vs.* X_{CdCl_2} at 690° for the mixtures of CdCl₂ **with LiCl and CsCl. Dotted curves give calculated values** of λ^M for CdCl₂-RbCl and for CdCl₂-KCl. Solid curve for the latter system was calculated by Bredig¹² from the partial en**thalpy data at 780'** of **Metzger, Brenner, and Salmon.Io**

 Mg ; A , $A' = K$, Rb , Cs). For example, for the binaries of MnCl₂, FeCl₂, CoCl₂, NiCl₂, and MgCl₂, with CsCl and RbCl, the ratios $\lambda^{M}(Cs-M)/\lambda^{M}(Rb-M)$ at $X_{\text{MCl}_2} = \frac{1}{3}$ are 1.17, 1.15, 1.18, 1.17, and 1.19, respectively. In Table I1 we list some average values of the

ratios λ^M (Cs-M)/ λ^M (Rb-M) and λ^M (Cs-M)/ λ^M (K-M), evaluated at different compositions of the mixture. If we assume that these ratios hold also for the $CdCl₂$ -AC1 binaries, we can use them to calculate the interaction parameters $\lambda^M(Cd-Rb)$ and $\lambda^M(Cd-K)$, from the curve for the CdC12-CsC1 system. The calculated curves for λ (Cd-Rb) and λ (Cd-K) are given as dotted lines in Figure 1.¹⁴ In this figure we give also the "experimental" interaction parameter curve for the $CdCl₂$ -

KCl system at 780°, calculated by Bredig¹³ from the partial enthalpy data of Metzger, Brenner, and Salmon.¹⁰ We see that there is quite good agreement between the two interaction parameter curves at mole fraction of $CdCl₂$ below about 0.5. However, the agreement is less satisfactory at higher contents of CdC12, where the difference between the two curves is as large as about 2.5 kcal/mol at $X_{\text{CdCl}_2} \approx 0.85$.

Due to the "intermediate" character of the NaCl-MCl₂⁴ binaries the $\lambda^M(Cs-M)/\lambda^M(Na-M)$ ratios (and the $\lambda^M(Cs-M)/\lambda^M(Li-M)$ ratios) vary as much as 50% for the different MCl_2 -ACl systems. Thus a reliable estimate of λ^M for the CdCl₂-NaCl mixtures is not possible.

Acknowledgments.-This work has been supported by the National Science Foundation. It also has benefited from the general support of Materials Science at the University of Chicago by ARPA.

> CONTRIBUTION FROM THE DEPARTMENT OF CHEMISTRY. **UNIVERSITY OF IOWA, IOWA CITY, IOWA 52240**

Mechanistic Implications of the Role of Phenol in the Uranium (1V)-Chlorine(II1)- Phenol Reaction

BY EUGENE B. **GRIMLEY, ROBERT BUCHACEK, AND GILBERT GORDON*'**

Received August 31, 1970

Evidence has been reported for the presence of a reactive chlorine intermediate in the reaction of uranium- (IV) with chlorine(II1) in acidic aqueous solution.2 When phenol is added to this system as an initial reactant, the resultant kinetics, stoichiometry, and product identification indicate that an intermediate chlorine species has been scavenged by phenol. The purpose of this note is to discuss the results of the present study which was aimed at the determination of the scavenged intermediate chlorine species in the above reaction.

The stoichiometry of the uranium (IV) -chlorine (III) reaction was reported to be variable in the absence of phenol. The criterion for monitoring reactant stoichiometry was the $([Cl(III)]/[U(IV)])$ _{consumed} ratio. In the absence of phenol, this ratio was found to vary from **1.5** to **2.5,** depending upon reaction conditions. The reaction was accompanied by the production of chlorate ion as one of the products. The formation of chlorate ion under the strongly reducing conditions imposed by $uranim(IV)$ and a greater than expected consumption of chlorine(II1) are two unusual features of the reaction

⁽¹⁴⁾ The dotted curves drawn in Figure 1 are the result of a somewhat more laborious calculation of the $\lambda^M(Cs-M)/\lambda^M(A-M)$ ratios, including com**positions other than those listed in Table 11.**

⁽¹⁾ To whom correspondence should be addressed.

⁽²⁾ G. Gordonand F. Feldman, Inorg. *Chew ,8,* **1728 (1964).**

that are consistent with the mechanism TABLE I

$$
U(IV) + C1(III) \longrightarrow U(VI) + C1(I) \qquad \text{slow} \qquad (1)
$$

$$
Cl(I) + xCl(III) \longrightarrow yCl^- + zClO_3^- \quad \text{fast} \tag{2}
$$

$$
U(IV) + (1 + x)Cl(III) \longrightarrow U(VI) + yCl^{-} + zClO3
$$
 (3)

The first step of the overall reaction is rate determining and produces $chlorine(I)$ by a two-electron-transfer process which is effected by oxygen atom transfer3 from chlorine(III) to uranium(IV). Chlorine(I) refers to hypochlorous acid which would be the predominant species in the acid range of 0.18-1.50 *M* perchloric acid. (The use of chlorine (I) eliminates the necessity to differentiate between the species HOC1, OC1-, and C1+.) The reaction between hypochlorous acid and chlorine- (111) has been reported by Emmenegger and Gordon to be rapid.⁴ Therefore, the chlorine (I) formed in reaction 1 could react rapidly in a second step with chlorine(II1) to produce the observed stoichiometry and chlorate ion.

Feldman and Gordon2 reported that the reactant stoichiometry is reduced to 1:1 when phenol is initially added to the $uranim(IV)-chlorine(III)$ reaction in the range of $0.18-1.5 \text{ } M \text{ HClO}_4$ at 25° . Gordon and Buchacek⁵ have determined the simplified rate law for the uranium(1V)-chlorine(II1)-phenol reaction in 0.1 1-1.5 *M* perchloric acid at 25" to be

$$
-\frac{\mathrm{d}[U(IV)]}{\mathrm{d}t} = k_{\text{obsd}} \frac{[U(IV)][Cl(III)]}{[H^+]}
$$
(4)

In 2 M ionic strength and 0.1 M phenol, the value of k_{obsd} is 13.1 \pm 0.2 sec⁻¹ at 25°.

The apparent role of phenol is to scavenge an intermediate chlorine species. What is the chlorine-containing species? In order to answer this question, a rate comparison must be made to determine which of the known chlorine species are able to react with phenol rapidly enough to account for the observed scavenger reaction.

Table I summarizes the results found^{2,6,7} for the reaction of known chlorine oxidants with phenol. The reaction comparison is made under conditions of 1.00 *M* perchloric acid, unit ionic strength, and 25", except where noted.

A comparison of calculated half-lives extrapolated from the work of Emmenegger and $Gordon⁴$ on the reactions of hypochlorous acid with chlorous acid and of chlorine with chlorous acid are shown in Tables I1 and 111. The extrapolated half -lives are calculated under the same conditions used for comparison of the potential scavenger reactions. The chlorine-chlorous acid

A COMPARISON OF ESTIMATED HALF-LIVES FOR REACTIOKS *M* PHENOL BETWEEN PHENOL AND CHLORINE OXIDANTS WITH 0.100

Oxidant in reaction with phenol $\rm ^a$	Estd half-lives, sec	Conditions and remarks	Ref
$C1O_4$	$>10^6$	No reaction in 1 month	2,6
C1O ₃	$>10^{6}$	No reaction in weeks	2.6
C1O ₂	15	$[C_6H_5OH]_0 \gg [CIO_2]$	6
$C1O_2$ ⁻	$>10^{3}$	No reaction in hours	2,6
HClO ₂	>180		2,6
$\begin{bmatrix} C1_2O_2 \end{bmatrix}$	< 0.0001	Indirect evidence	2.6
HOC1	14	Ag^+ present ^{ϵ}	7
Cl ₂	0.00034	$[C_6H_5OH] \gg [Cl_2]$	6

The following reactivity order with phenol is established: $ClO₄$ ⁻. \rightarrow Not directly observed but implied by indirect evidence, Silver perchlorate was present to suppress the formation of chlorine from hypochlorous acid dissociation. d Predicted half- $[Cl_2O_2] \approx Cl_2 \gg HOC1 \approx Cl_2 > HClO_2 > ClO_2^- \approx ClO_3^- >$ life at 5° .

^a Half-lives are reported⁴ for the production of chlorine dioxide.

TABLE I11

CALCULATION OF SECOND-ORDER RATE CONSTANTS FROM THE CHLORINE(I)-CHLORINE(III) INTERACTION DATA IN 0.2 *M* PERCHLORIC ACID AT 25°

'& Reported4 half-lives were for the production of chlorine dioxide. $^{\mathrm{b}}$ Based on an activation energy of ${\sim}11$ kcal/mol and a preexponential factor of 10^{11} .

reaction was assumed to be first order in both reactants.

An important factor in the rate comparison is the effect of chloride ion on the reaction with hypochlorous acid, as illustrated by the equation

$$
HOC1 + Cl^- + H^+ \frac{k_1}{k_2} Cl_2 + H_2 O
$$

Eigen and Kustin have reported⁸ k_f for the forward reaction to be 1.8 \times 10⁴ M^{-2} sec⁻¹ at 20[°]. At 5[°], the third-order rate constant has been determined in this laboratory6 by means of a stopped-flow experiment to

⁽³⁾ Oxygen transfer from chlorine(II1) to the uranium(V1) product rules out the possibility of a uranium (V) intermediate for the primary pathway: cf. D. M. H. Kern and G. Gordon in "Theory and Structure of Complex Compounds," B. Jezowska-Trzebiatowska, Ed., Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1964, p 655; G. Gordon and H. Taube, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1, 69 (1962).

⁽⁴⁾ F. Emmenegger and G. Gordon, *ibld.,* **6, 633** (1967).

⁽⁵⁾ R. J. Buchacek and *G.* Gordon, to be submitted for publication. (6) E. Grimley and G Gordon, to be submitted for publication.

⁽⁷⁾ P. B. I). de la Mare, **A.** r). Ketley, and C. **A.** Vernon, *J. Chem.* Soc., **1290 (1954).**

⁽⁸⁾ M. Eigen and K. Kustin, *J. Amer. Chem. Soc.,* **84, 1355 (1962).**

be \sim 1.1 \times 10⁴ M ⁻² sec⁻¹. An estimated value of 2.1 \times $10⁴ M⁻² sec⁻¹ at 25^o is consistent with the values re$ ported at 5 and 20".

For purposes of comparison, the reaction of 0.035 *M* uranium(IV) with 0.035 *M* chlorine(III) in the presence of 0.054 M phenol at 25° and 1.00 M perchloric acid has a half-life of about 2.2 sec. The reaction^{2,9} of 0.035 M uranium(IV) and 0.034 M hypochlorous acid in 1.00 M perchloric acid at 25" has a half-life of about 27 sec. The reaction of 0.054 *M* phenol with 0.034 *M* hypochlorous acid in 1.00 *M* perchloric acid at 25° has a half-life of about 26 sec. This comparison shows that chlorine- (III) reacts faster with uranium (IV) than does hypochlorous acid and that the phenol-hypochlorous acid reaction is not fast enough markedly to inhibit the reaction of hypochlorous acid with uranium(1V).

The hypochlorous acid-chlorine(III) interaction is fast enough such that the hypochlorous acid reaction with phenol *cannot* account for the scavenger reaction. The reaction between phenol and the scavenged chlorine species *must* be competitive with the hypochlorous acidchlorine(II1) interaction and *must* be much faster than the $uranim(IV)$ -chlorine(III) reaction.

However, the reaction of chlorine with phenol can be competitive with the hypochlorous acid-chlorine(II1) interaction if a sufficient chloride ion concentration is present in the reaction mixture to produce chlorine from hypochlorous acid. (Reagent grade sodium chlorite used in these reactions was analyzed and found to contain 0.47% sodium chloride.) For purposes of comparison, the extent of formation of chlorine and its subsequent fate, along with the extent of formation of the chlorine-containing $[Cl_2O_2]$ intermediate,^{4,10,11} have been calculated for two sets of conditions.

The first comparison is made for a solution of 0.035 *M* chlorous acid, 1.0 *M* perchloric acid, 0.1 *M* phenol, and 0.035 *M* uranium(IV) at 25°. The hypochlorous acid formed upon reduction of chlorine(III) by uranium (IV) could react with chlorine(III) to give the $[Cl_2O_2]$ intermediate or it could react with chloride ion to give chlorine. Under these conditions, the half-life for the reaction of hypochlorous acid with chlorine(II1) has a lower limit of 0.01 sec. The chloride ion concentration, due to the sodium chloride impurity in the sodium chlorite, is 2.6×10^{-4} *M*. From this chloride ion concentration, an upper limit of 0.1 sec for the half-life for production of chlorine due to the hypochlorous acid-chloride ion reaction is calculated. Once formed, chlorine will react faster with phenol than with chlorine (III) by a factor of approximately 14, but some $[Cl₂O₂]$ will be formed owing to the competitive **chlorine(II1)-hypochlorous** acid reaction.

M chlorous acid, 1 *M* perchloric acid, 0.054 *M* phenol, and $5 \times 10^{-3} M$ uranium(IV) at 25° . Under these conditions, the half-life for the reaction of hypochlorous acid with chlorine(II1) has a lower limit of 0.35 sec. The second comparison is made for a solution of 10^{-3}

The chloride ion impurity in the sodium chlorite is 7×10^{-6} *M*. From this chloride ion concentration, an upper limit of 4 sec for the half-life for production of chlorine due to the hypochlorous acid-chloride ion reaction is calculated. Once formed, the chlorine will again react faster with phenol than with chlorine(II1) by a factor of approximately 20, but once again, reasonable concentrations of $[Cl_2O_2]$ will have been formed.

It is evident from these results, summarized in Table IV, that at least 10% of the hypochlorous acid formed

TABLE IV

 α The calculated half-lives for reaction of 0.035 *M* uranium(IV) with 0.035 *M* chlorine(II1) in the presence of 0.1 *M* phenol. The calculated half-lives for reaction of $5 \times 10^{-3}\,M$ uranium(IV) with 10^{-3} *M* chlorine(III) in the presence of 0.054 *M* phenol. CThe calculated half-life is a minimum value based on the data calculated from Table II. d The maximum $t_{1/2}$ is calculated from the amount of NaCl impurity in Matheson Coleman and Bell reagent grade sodium chlorite. Analysis shows $>99\%$ NaClO₂ with 0.47% NaCl. The remaining impurity is assumed to be due to NaC104. It should be noted that this amount of chloride ion in reactions containing chlorous acid is a minimum, not accounting for chloride ion produced during the reaction.

by the reduction of chlorine(III) by uranium (IV) would react with chloride ion to form chlorine. The remainder of the hypochlorous acid would be involved in the formation of $[Cl_2O_2]$ owing to the hypochlorous acidchlorine(II1) reaction. Concomitantly, the chlorine and $[Cl_2O_2]$ formed would react with phenol to produce o - or p -chlorophenol. Thus, the intermediate species scavenged by phenol must be chlorine and $[Cl_2O_2]$. It is to be emphasized, however, that the chloride ion concentration could not be so high such that chlorine could account for the entire amount of chlorine species scavenged by phenol. (Concentrated uranium(1V) solutions, \sim 0.18 *M*, have been shown to have less than 5×10^{-4} M chloride ion present.) The fact that neither chlorine(V) nor chlorine dioxide is formed under these conditions implies that direct reaction of the $[Cl_2O_2]$ intermediate must occur with phenol. Furthermore, at a pH greater than or equal to 1, the scavenged species must be almost entirely the $\lbrack Cl_2O_2 \rbrack$ intermediate.

Based on these arguments, the following mechanism is presented

$$
U(IV) + Cl(III) \longrightarrow U(VI) + Cl(I) \qquad \text{slow} \qquad (5)
$$

$$
Cl(I) + Cl(III) \longrightarrow [Cl_2O_2] \qquad \text{#s}
$$
 (6)

⁽⁹⁾ R. A Silverman and G. Gordon, to be submitted for publication.

⁽¹⁰⁾ H. Taube and H. Dodgen, *J. Amev. Chem.* Soc., **71,** 3330 (1949).

⁽¹¹⁾ H. Dodgen and **H.** Taube, *ibid.,* **71,** 2501 (1949).

B76 *Inorganic Chemistry, Vol. 10, No. 4, 1971*
\n
$$
Cl(I) + Cl^{-} \longrightarrow Cl_{2} \qquad t_{1/2}^{b} \qquad (7) \qquad \text{where } t_{1/2}^{a} \leq (0.1) t_{1/2}^{b}.
$$

$$
[Cl_2O_2] + C_6H_5OH \longrightarrow
$$

\n
$$
ClC_6H_4OH + H^+ + Cl(III) \qquad fast \quad (8)
$$

\n
$$
Cl_2 + C_6H_5OH \longrightarrow ClC_6H_4OH + H^+ + Cl^- \qquad fast \quad (9)
$$

 (7) where $t_{1/2}^a \leq (0.1)t_{1/2}^b$.

Acknowledgment.-The authors gratefully acknowledge the financial support received from the Atomic Energy Commission.